

**STATE OF WISCONSIN                      CIRCUIT COURT                      RUSK COUNTY**

STATE OF WISCONSIN  
Plaintiff,

vs.

ELIZABETH DUSELL  
W7553 Co Rd J  
Ladysmith, WI 54848  
DOB: 12/06/1970  
Sex/Race: F/W  
Eye Color: Brown  
Hair Color: Brown  
Height: 5 ft 2 in  
Weight: 165 lbs  
Alias: Also Known As Elizabeth M Du  
Sell

Defendant.

DA Case No.: 2026RU000089  
Assigned DA/ADA: John A Cabranes  
Agency Case No.: 26C-00859  
Court Case No.:  
ATN:

**CRIMINAL COMPLAINT**

*For Official Use*

I, the undersigned, being first duly sworn, state that:

**HIDING A CORPSE - PTAC, AS A PARTY TO A CRIME (JI 1194)**

The above-named defendant on or about Monday, March 2, 2026, in the Town of Hubbard, Rusk County, Wisconsin, as a party to a crime, did hide a corpse with the intent to conceal a crime, contrary to sec. 940.11(2), 939.05 Wis. Stats., a Class F Felony, and upon conviction may be fined not more than Twenty Five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000), or imprisoned not more than twelve (12) years and six (6) months, or both.

Your complainant bases this complaint upon the reports of Deputy Leffler and Investigators Gronski and Dieckman of the Rusk County Sheriff's Office and the statements of Victim and Elizabeth DuSell. Your complainant and Deputy Leffler and Investigators Gronski and Dieckman are presumed to be truthful and reliable because of the position of trust they hold as law enforcement officers and because they have proven to be truthful and reliable in the past. The statement of Victim is presumed to be truthful and reliable because he is the victim. The statement of Elizabeth DuSell is presumed to be truthful and reliable because it is a statement made against her penal interest.

**PROBABLE CAUSE:**

The complainant is in possession of reports by Deputy Leffler and Investigators Gronski and Dieckman of the Rusk County Sheriff's Office which form the basis for this complaint.

On March 2, 2026 at approximately 1024 hours, Rusk County Dispatch received a telephone call from S. J. D. stating her and her husband, identified as E. J. D. have not seen E. J. D.'s father, [VICTIM] since 2/26/2026. [VICTIM]'s residence is at [..], Town of Hubbard, County of Rusk. [VICTIM] did call E. J. D. on Friday, 2/27/2026. Information was

received that [VICTIM]'s vehicle, a 2005, Dodge Ram 2500, silver in color bearing Wisconsin Farm Plate 373828F was not at [VICTIM]'s residence.

At approximately 1708 hours, Investigator (Inv.) Steve Gronski, was contacted by Sheriff Phil Grassmann. Sheriff Grassmann requested Inv. Gronski assist in attempting to locate [VICTIM]. Sheriff Grassmann advised [VICTIM]'s vehicle was located in Sawyer County with a male subject operating the vehicle that was not [VICTIM].

Inv. Gronski arrived at the Rusk County Sheriff's Office and met with Deputy Mark Ohmstead and Deputy Leffler. The male subject that was operating [VICTIM]'s vehicle was identified as Charles G. Allers (M/W DOB: 3/14/1964). Charles was currently in custody for a Probation Warrant and said he found the truck with the keys in it at the Americinn in Rice Lake and took it.

On 3/03/26, Charles was interviewed at the Sawyer County Sheriff's Office. Prior to the interview, Charles was read his Miranda Warning, and agreed to speak with WI DOJ-DCI S/A Adam L. Frederick and RUSO Det. Zach Dieckman. The following information was a brief synopsis of the interview with Charles:

Charles admitted to shooting and killing [VICTIM] at [VICTIM]'s residence after 0300 hrs on Saturday, February 28, 2026. Charles was in an intimate relationship with [VICTIM]'s wife for approximately two-months and occasionally stayed at the secondary residential structure located on the same property where [VICTIM] was killed. [VICTIM] did not know Charles and his wife, Elizabeth, known to Charles as "Betsy", were having an affair nor did [VICTIM] know Charles would occasionally stay at the secondary residential structure. This was according to Charles and Elizabeth.

Charles and Elizabeth often spoke about how things would be without [VICTIM] in the picture and Charles confirmed there were lengthy discussions about killing [VICTIM]. Elizabeth and Charles communicated at length over the weekend about killing [VICTIM]. Charles told investigators he informed Elizabeth a crime of passion would not be an argument because of their lengthy historical conversations about eliminating [VICTIM] from the equation.

While in the main residential structure, prior to killing [VICTIM], Charles and Elizabeth spoke. Charles eventually retrieved the .22 Ruger handgun that [VICTIM] kept in the cab of his truck where Elizabeth told Charles the gun was located. Charles and Elizabeth spoke some more about eliminating [VICTIM] and Charles walked upstairs while Elizabeth remained downstairs. [Charles] hesitated two times but indicated he felt no emotional connection nor would he ever apologize to the family of [VICTIM] for what he did. Charles shot [VICTIM] six to seven times and began walking downstairs. He heard what he described as [VICTIM] falling off the bed.

Charles eventually admitted to removing [VICTIM] from the house using a thick rope, duct tape, and the bedding. The shooting and removal of [VICTIM] all occurred while Elizabeth was present and in discussions with Charles.

Once [VICTIM] was on the exterior of the residence, a tow rope was tied to the other rope, binding [VICTIM]. [VICTIM]'s truck was backed up and the body of [VICTIM] was dragged from the porch to a resting location behind the cattle trailer. The body of [VICTIM] was concealed using a blanket and out of the visual vantagepoint of the driveway and residential structure.

Based on the foregoing, the complainant believes this complaint to be true and correct.